Summary of Requests for Recommendations Regarding the Disposition of Culturally Unidentifiable Human Remains (1994-2006)

The table summarizes requests to the Native American Graves Protection and Disposition Review Committee for recommendation regarding the disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains.

Legend -

HR: Number of human remains reported as minimum number of individuals (MNI)

AFO: Number of associated funerary objects

	Museum/Agency	Review Committee recommendations	Notice published in Federal Register	HR	AFO
1.	Alameda County Sheriff's Office, Coroner's Bureau, CA	November 2006: Requested that the Secretary of the Interior inquire as to applicability of state law.		1	0
2.	Baylor University, Strecker Museum (now Mayborn Museum), TX	March 1997: Recommended additional consultation; move toward cultural affiliation; revise inventory.		46	5
3.	California Department of Parks and Recreation	January 1998: Recommended additional consultation and resubmission of request.		?	?
4.	California State University, Fresno	May 1999: Recommended disposition to the Central Valley and Mountain Reinterment Association, a coalition of federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups.	Federal Register, August 8, 2000, vol. 65, no. 153, p. 48530 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0358.pdf	122	0
5.	City of Santa Clara, Headon Inman House, CA	March 1997: Recommended additional consultation and documentation.		1	1
6.	Colorado College	September 2004: Recommended disposition to the Southern Ute Indian Tribe based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, December 22, 2004, vol. 69, no. 245, pp. 76780- 76781 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0809.pdf	3	0
7.	Colorado Historical Society	November 2006: Recommended approval of protocol for future disposition of any culturally unidentifiable remains, subject to concurrence of additional affected Indian tribes.		-	-
8.	De Anza College, CA	March 1997: Recommended additional consultation and documentation.		35	0

9.	Fine Arts Museum of San Francisco, CA	December, 1998: Recommended additional consultation and documentation.		0	91
	Franklin Pierce College, NH	November 2001: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi, a nonfederally recognized Indian group, following receipt of letters of agreement from affected federally recognized Indian tribes.	Federal Register, April 4, 2003, vol. 68, no. 65, pp. 16550-16551 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0674.pdf	5	0
11.	Henry County Historical Society, IN	March 1997: Recommended additional consultation, move toward cultural affiliation, revise inventory.		23	0
12.	Hood Museum of Art , Dartmouth College, NH	October 1995: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi, a nonfederally recognized Indian group, following publication of notice in area newspapers.	Federal Register, May 17, 1996, vol. 61, no. 97, p 24950 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0075.pdf	1	0
13.	Archaeologist	January 1998: Recommended approval of request, with provision of documentation.	Federal Register, December 27, 2000, vol. 65, no. 249, pp. 81886-81894 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0430.pdf	339	0
14.	Iowa Office of the State Archaeologist	May, 2006: Recommended approval of protocol for future disposition of any culturally unidentifiable remains, subject to concurrence of all affected Indian tribes.		-	
15.	Minnesota Indian Affairs Council	January 1998: Recommended approval of request upon provision of additional documentation. December 1998: Following submission of additional documentation, recommended disposition pursuant to state law.	Federal Register, August 9, 1999, vol. 64, no. 152, pp. 43211-43222 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0285.pdf	1059	306
	New Hampshire Division of Historical Resources	May 1999: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi Abenaki, a non-federally recognized Indian group, following receipt of letters of support from affected federally recognized Indian tribes.	Federal Register, July 9, 2002, vol. 67, no. 131, pp. 45536-45539 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0619.pdf	17	0
17.	Oakland Museum, CA	March 1997: Recommended additional consultation and documentation.		5	3
18.	Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University	December 1998: Recommended disposition to the Nipmuc Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group.	Federal Register, August 1, 2003, vol. 68, no. 148, pp. 45274-45275 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0693.pdf	2	0

	Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University, MA	May 1999: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire, both nonfederally recognized Indian groups, following receipt of letters of support from affected federally recognized Indian tribes.	Federal Register, October 9, 2001, vol. 66, no. 195, pp. 51468-51469 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0564.pdf	30	6
20.	Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology, Phillips Academy, MA	November 1994: Recommended disposition to the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe, a non-federally recognized Indian group.	Federal Register, February 15, 1995, vol. 60, no. 31, p 8733 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0026.pdf	1	12
21.	Sonoma State University, CA	June 1998: Recommended additional information/concurrence from other tribes.		145	224
22.	South Dakota Archaeological Research Center	November 2005: Recommended disposition to the Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe on behalf of a coalition of 17 Indian tribes.	Federal Register, April 26, 2006, vol. 71, no. 80, pp. 24752-24755 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0904.pdf	70	11
23.	University of Nebraska-Lincoln	December 1998: Recommended disposition to the Omaha Tribe on behalf of a coalition of 17 Indian tribes.	Federal Register, October 2, 2000, vol. 65, no. 191, pp. 58803-58806 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fednotices/nagpradir/nic0386.pdf	330	0
24.	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, National Forests in Florida	April 2000: Recommended disposition to the Miccosukee Tribe based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, July 21, 2000, vol. 65, no. 141, pp. 45397-45398 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0348.pdf	8	0
25.	U.S. Department of Energy, Fernald Site, OH	March 1997: Recommended retention of human remains until identification of clear mechanism for disposition. January 1998: Clarified that letter sent following previous meeting did not intend that remains be retained in the ground.		?	?
26.	U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Fort Douglas, UT	March 2005: Recommended disposition to the Great Basin Inter-tribal NAGPRA Coalition, a coalition of Indian tribes.		1	0
27.	U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Fort Hunter-Liggett, CA	February 1995: Recommended disposition to the Salinan Indian Tribal Council, a non-federally recognized Indian group.		3	0

28.	U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Joint Readiness Training Center and Fort Polk, LA	May/June 2002: Recommended disposition to the Caddo Tribe based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, August 14, 2003, vol. 68, no. 157, pp. 8623- 48624 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0694.pdf	1	0
29.	U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, CO	March 2006: Recommended disposition to 22 southwestern tribes based on aboriginal lands.	Federal Register, March 17, 2006, vol. 71, no. 52, pp. 13863-13864 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0899.pdf	1	0
30.	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office, SD	<u>December 2000</u> : Recommended disposition to the North Dakota Intertribal Reinterment Committee, a coalition of federally recognized tribes.	Federal Register, May 3, 2001, vol. 66, no. 86, pp. 22255-22256 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0522.pdf	14	4
	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Eastern Colorado Area Office	December 2000: Recommended disposition to Cheyenne & Arapaho Tribes and Northern Cheyenne Tribe based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, April 9, 2001, vol. 66, no. 68, pp. 18505-18506 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0492.pdf	1	0
32.	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM (presented jointly with Guadalupe Mountains National Park)	December 1998: Recommended disposition to coalition of 12 Indian tribes based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, January 6, 2004, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 678-679 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0744.pdf	3	0
33.	U.S. Department of Interior, National Park Service, Effigy Mounds National Monument, IA	September 2004: Recommended disposition to the Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska, and Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, December 20, 2004, vol. 69, no. 243, pp. 76005-76006 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0806.pdf	12	3
34.	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Fort Clatsop National Memorial	<u>January 1998</u> : Recommended solicitation of letters from nearest federally recognized Indian tribes.		1	0
35.	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Fort Union National Monument, NM	November 2006: Recommended disposition to the Jicarilla Apache Tribe and Ute Mountain Tribe based on aboriginal land.		4	10

36.	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Guadalupe Mountains National Park, NM (presented jointly with Carlsbad Caverns National Park)	December 1999: Recommended disposition to a coalition of 12 Indian tribes based on aboriginal land. December 2000: Recommended disposition of additional human remains to a coalition of 12 Indian tribes based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, November 23, 2004, vol. 69, no. 225, pp. 68169-68171 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0800.pdf	8	0
37.	U.S. Department of Interior, National Park Service, Mesa Verde National Park, CO	March 2006: Recommended disposition to a coalition of 22 Indian tribes.	Federal Register, March 15, 2006, vol. 71, no. 50, pp. 13428- 13430 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0898.pdf	24	50
38.	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Zion National Park, UT	May 2001: Recommended disposition to a coalition of 7 Indian tribes based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, May 20, 2002, vol. 67, no. 97, pp. 35580-35581 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fednotices/nagpradir/nic0615.pdf	11	0
39.	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	February 1995: Recommended disposition to the Nansemond Tribal Association, a non-federally recognized Indian group.	Federal Register, March 27, 1997, vol. 62, no. 59, pp. 14701- 14702 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0128.pdf	64	105
40.	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	May 1999: Recommended additional documentation. November 1999: Recommended disposition to Monacan Indian Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group.	Federal Register, February 10, 2000, vol. 65, no. 28, pp. 6622- 6623 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed _notices/nagpradir/nic0326.pdf	105	0
41.	Washington State Historical Society	April 2000: Recommended disposition to Puyallup Tribe based on aboriginal land.	Federal Register, July 21, 2000, vol. 65, no. 141, pp. 45403-45404 http://www.cr.nps.gov/nagpra/fed_notices/nagpradir/nic0355.pdf	4	0